



The National Institute for Innovation in Manufacturing Biopharmaceuticals

Project Call 9.1T

Request for Proposals

Concept Papers Due: September 16, 2025

Full Proposals Due: January 29, 2026

VERSION: August 2025

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary.....	3
2. Project Requirements and Eligibility Criteria.....	4
3. Proposal Instructions.....	7
3.1 General Instructions.....	7
3.2 Stage 1: Concept Phase.....	8
3.2 Stage 2: Full Proposal	10
4. Proposal Review and Evaluation	14
4.1 Stage 1: Concept Evaluation Criteria	14
4.2 Stage 2: Full Proposal Evaluation Criteria	15
5. Reporting.....	16
6. Project Call Topics	16
7. Abbreviated List of Acronyms.....	21

1. Executive Summary

The mission of NIIMBL (the National Institute for Innovation in Manufacturing Biopharmaceuticals) is to accelerate biopharmaceutical manufacturing innovation, support the development of standards that enable more efficient and rapid manufacturing capabilities, and educate and train a world-leading biopharmaceutical manufacturing workforce. NIIMBL is pleased to announce this Request for Proposals (RFP) for Project Call 9.1, with member-driven and industry-led priority topic areas for technical development proposals.

Funding Opportunity Title: Project Call 9.1

Stage 1: The Concept Phase includes the submission of a Concept Paper and a short slide deck. Principal investigators (PIs) with accepted concepts will be notified about participating in a virtual summit and will be requested to upload a Showcase Video at that time. No teaming, budget, or cost share information is required at this stage, but if information is available for a given Concept, it may be included at the Concept stage. Concepts may only be submitted by NIIMBL members or a Federal employee, although non-members may be contemplated as part of the proposed team. Concept submissions must be submitted via the NIIMBL Proposal Submission Hub. Submissions received after the deadline (Table 1), or that are not compliant with this RFP, will not be considered and will be declined without review.

Following the submission of Concepts, each submission will be reviewed by NIIMBL, Industry and Federal stakeholder subject matter experts to prioritize those Concepts that have the potential for the highest industry impact and likelihood of success. To help facilitate the review and potential teaming at the Full Proposal stage, NIIMBL is requesting Showcase Videos (a short, 90 second maximum, video that summarizes the main points of the proposed project and desired teaming opportunities). For Technical Concepts, the starting Biomanufacturing Readiness Level (BRL) of a given Concept will also be a factor. The Concept Phase (Stage 1) concludes with invitations issued for the submission of a Full Proposal (Stage 2). Declination notices will be sent to unsuccessful proposers. Deadlines and key dates are summarized in Table 1.

Stage 2: The Full Proposal Phase includes submission of a 14-page proposal with teaming, detailed budget, cost share, and other requirements listed in this announcement. Full Proposal submissions must be submitted via the NIIMBL Proposal Submission Hub. Submissions received after the deadline (see Table 1), or otherwise non-compliant with the submission requirements, will not be considered.

The Full Proposal Phase concludes with a decision to fund or not fund the proposal by the NIIMBL Governing Committee (GC). Awarded project teams will be expected to complete contracting within 90 days after formal notification of the award. NIIMBL reserves the right to rescind offers of funding to awarded project teams that have not completed contracting within that time frame.

Table 1. Summary of Key Dates and Deadlines

EVENT	DATE
Concept Paper Due	September 16, 2025 (5:00 pm ET)
Virtual Summit	September (25, 26, & 30), 2025
Invite for Full Proposal	October, 2025
Full Proposal Due by 5:00 pm ET	January 29, 2026
Proposal Review	February 2026
Award Decisions Announced	Expected March 2026

Priority Topic Areas

The priority topic areas are summarized in Section 6.

Total Amount to be Awarded

NIIMBL will make available up to \$4,000,000 to fund both Technology and Workforce Development proposals submitted in response to this Open Project Call.

2. Project Requirements and Eligibility Criteria

Proposer Eligibility

Stage 1: Concept Phase, only the lead Concept proposer must be an individual from a NIIMBL member organization or a Federal employee.

Stage 2: Full Proposal Phase, the lead project proposer and all members of the proposed project team must be a NIIMBL member or a Federal employee. To participate on a project proposal team, an organization must be a member or have submitted a partially executed NIIMBL Membership Agreement by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time at least one week prior to the due date for the Full Proposal (see Table 1)

Information on how to join NIIMBL is available at: <https://www.niimbl.org/membership/>

Project Constraints

Concepts and Full Proposals must be consistent with NIIMBL Membership Agreements, the NIIMBL Bylaws, and should be labeled as NIIMBL Confidential.

Technology development Concepts and Full Proposals shall be within NIIMBL Biomanufacturing Readiness Level (BRL) 4-7. More information on BRL can be found at:

<https://www.niimbl.org/industry-solutions/brls/>



Invited Full Proposals will be accepted with the following constraints:

- A maximum \$500,000 of NIIMBL funding for Technology development proposals
- A minimum of 2 project partners (see *Teaming* section below)
- All project partners must be NIIMBL members before the submission deadline
- A minimum 1:1 (partners: NIIMBL) cost share requirement
- All committed cost share must be from non-Federal funding sources.
- Projects with higher cost share ratio (partners:NIIMBL) will be more competitive.
- A maximum of 18-month period of performance
- Technology development proposals will be required to complete a NIIMBL BRL assessment.

This project call solicits proposals for Institute-Wide Projects; however, projects may request to be treated as Partner-Specific Projects¹. License rights to intellectual property developed in Institute-Wide Projects and Partner-Specific Projects are treated differently; therefore, project teams should carefully review Article IV of the NIIMBL Bylaws before requesting that a project be authorized as Partner-Specific. NIIMBL envisions occasions where Partner-Specific projects are applicable to the technology being advanced will be rare. If Project teams plan to request permission to be treated as Partner-Specific, they must make this request in the Proposal Narrative and provide a justification for the request. Such a designation will be reviewed prior to project authorization to ensure it is appropriate for the type of project being proposed.

Approval of a project to be designated as Partner-Specific is subject to the special approval of the Governing Committee, which will review the justification closely to determine if a Partner- Specific designation is in line with the intent of the distinction.

Cost Share

There is no requirement to have cost share documented or described at the Concept Phase.

Full Proposals must offer and document the required minimum cash or in-kind cost share commitment in the budget that is submitted as part of the Full Proposal. Cost share must be consistent with requirements in an organization's NIIMBL Membership Agreement. Project teams should be aware that the institutional cost share requirements for NIIMBL member organizations vary based on institution type (e.g., industry, academic/non-profit organization) and tier level.

¹ Institute-wide Projects address broad challenges faced by the biomanufacturing industry at large, with the goal of developing solutions that will benefit the overwhelming majority of manufacturers. Partner-specific Projects address the needs of more narrow sectors of the biopharmaceutical industry and are more limited in participation and IP than Institute-Wide Projects, performed pursuant to a Project Award Agreement. See Article IV of the NIIMBL Bylaws for more information related to intellectual property rights.



Due to these different cost share obligations, project teams may allocate cost share commitments amongst team members, however necessary to meet the minimum overall project cost share. For example, not every team member is required to commit cost share, and some team members may exceed the ratio required by their Membership Agreement. However, the project team collectively must still meet the requirement, and each project team member must individually meet their requirements per their Membership Agreement.

For Delaware based organizations requesting state of Delaware cost share support, additional review and approval is required. Project proposal teams should include confirmation of the support (Appendix I).

Delaware: Contact Marta Rosario, (martar@udel.edu) **by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time two weeks before the full proposal due date** (see Table 1) to request a State of Delaware cost share commitment. The request should include a 1-paragraph description of the project, title, partners, and budget narrative.

Teaming

There is no requirement to have all partners identified during the Concept Phase. If partners have been identified, they should be noted in the Concept Paper and slide deck.

Full Proposals must have at least two distinct member organizations participating in the project. Each project proposal team shall have a designated lead partner that coordinates the activities of all partners on the project team. Teams that are led by industry members are strongly encouraged.

NIIMBL expects inclusion of Tier 3 industry members for Technology development projects. Project teams without one or more Tier 3 industry members must complete a justification form (Appendix H).

Note: When appropriate, project proposal teams may seek collaboration with Federal Organizations, National Laboratories, or Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) within the limits of their mission, rules, and Federal approvals. In accordance with regulations, Federal entities are not permitted to commit cost share towards NIIMBL projects to meet the team obligation.

Federal Agency Participation

NIIMBL Project Calls are open to Federal proposers. NIIMBL welcomes and encourages the participation of Federal employees in the project call process, both during the Concept Phase and the Full Proposal Phase. Federal employees may suggest a project that NIIMBL should undertake as a community, participate in a project team, or lead a project, as appropriate, within the mission and constraints of their agency. Federal employees may determine if participation in specific NIIMBL projects would be beneficial. Participation in this Project Call process and any resulting projects must be compatible with agency missions and any constraints related to accepting resources from NIIMBL. In general, NIIMBL will try to accommodate the unique needs of Federal proposers in this



process to reduce barriers to participation. Federal employees should review the [Guide for Information for Federal Stakeholders](#).

Human Subjects Activities

If proposing activities with human subjects, all activities involving human subjects must satisfy the requirements of the Common Rule for the Protection of Human Subjects, as provided for by the Department of Health and Human Services in 45 C.F.R. Part 46 and codified by the Department of Commerce in 15 C.F.R. Part 27. The Common Rule, and the institutional policies that enforce its requirements in activities involving human subjects, exist to ensure adequate protection of human subjects. Additional guidance related to activities involving human subjects can be found in the [Human Subjects Research Guidance Document](#).

Vertebrate Animal Activities

If proposing activities with vertebrate animals, all activities must comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (as implemented in 9 C.F.R. Parts 1, 2 and 3), and all other applicable statutes pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm-blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities. Additional guidance related to activities involving vertebrate animals is available in Activities Involving [Vertebrate Animals Guidance Document](#).

3. Proposal Instructions

3.1 General Instructions

Submissions

Stage 1: Concept submissions must be submitted via the NIIMBL Proposal Submission Hub. All submissions must be received no later than the deadline in Table 1. Submissions received after the deadline, or otherwise not compliant with the requirements of the Concept phase, will not be considered (see below for full requirements). PIs with accepted concepts will be notified about participating in a virtual summit and will be requested to upload a Showcase Video at that time.

Stage 2: Full Proposal submissions must be submitted via the NIIMBL Proposal Submission Hub. Proposals must be received no later than the deadline in Table 1. Submissions received after the deadline, or otherwise not compliant with the requirements of the full proposal phase, will not be considered (see below for full requirements).

Confidentiality

Teams are expected to mark their submissions (both Concepts and Full Proposals) as “NIIMBL Confidential,” in accordance with the NIIMBL Bylaws, limiting access to NIIMBL members or Federal representatives. The exception is the Full Proposal Abstract, which will be released to the public if an award is made.

3.2 Stage 1: Concept Phase

NIIMBL will facilitate the review and prioritization of the Concept Papers, Slide Deck, and Showcase Videos by subject matter experts from industry members and federal stakeholders, as noted in Table 1. The feedback will identify the Concepts that are best aligned with industry needs and priorities and will inform the selection of invitations to submit a Full Proposal in Stage 2 of the process.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit a 90 second video that will be shared with SME reviewers to complement their application. See guidance documents on the Project Call website.

To be considered during the Concept Phase, proposers must submit their Concept Paper, which must be single-spaced, 1-inch margins, 11-point Arial font (or larger equivalent font), and a maximum of 4 pages (not including references); along with a short PowerPoint slide deck (maximum 5 slides), that adheres to the template provided for this project call at:

<https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>. The Concept Paper, and Concept Slide Deck must be submitted via the NIIMBL Proposal Submission Hub by the deadline in Table 1.

Submitters invited to the virtual summit will be provided with further instructions for attendance.

For Technology development Concepts, the Concept BRL Appendix must also be submitted via the Submission Hub by the same deadline. There is no page limit for the Concept BRL Appendix.

Submitted concepts that do not adhere to the formatting and length limits will be considered non-compliant and will not be considered for further review.

The Concept Paper must include:

- Submitter name and organization
- Concept title
- Topic area to be addressed
- Identified project team partners and/or desired project team partners and expertise (if known)
- Background and significance of the problem to be solved
- Current state of the art; short summary of existing solutions to solve the problem
- Description of the proposed concept
- Value proposition to project partners, NIIMBL, the NIIMBL community, and/or the United States biopharmaceutical manufacturing industry. Considerations include return on investment, time to impact in the industry, contribution to enhanced portfolio within the ecosystem.
- For technology development concepts: BRL justification of the proposed concept and planned BRL transition from at least BRL 4 to a higher BRL; this should be addressed in the

Concept Paper, Slide Deck, and the Concept BRL Appendix (template available at:
[https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/.](https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/))

Table 2. Summary of Concept submission documents. Submission deadlines are listed in Table 1.

	Constraints
Concept Paper	Maximum of 4 pages File Type: .pdf only
Concept Slides	Maximum of 5 slides Standard size (4:3) File Type: .ppt or .pptx only
Concept BRL Appendix (if applicable)	No page limits File Type: .doc or .docx
Video	90 seconds max 500 MB max Preferred format: MP4

3.2 Stage 2: Full Proposal

The proposal narrative must be no more than 14 pages single-spaced, 1-inch margins, 11-point Arial font (or larger equivalent font). When properly labelled, the full proposal is NIIMBL confidential except for the abstract, which will be released to the public if an award is made.

The full proposal must address and include the following:

- Abstract (200 words max; not counted towards the page count)
- Executive Summary (up to 1 page; not counted towards the page count)
- Proposal Narrative (up to 14 pages)
- Required Proposal Appendices (not counted towards the page count)

Appendix A	Biosketches
Appendix B	Quad Chart (.ppt or .pptx file – see template)
Appendix C	Project Plan (includes Work Breakdown Structure, Responsibilities Assignment Matrix, and Gantt Chart) (.doc file – see template)
Appendix D	Individual Organization Budgets (.xls file – see template)
Appendix E	BRL Evaluation (additional information will be provided to the invited Full Proposal teams upon invitation)

Additional Proposal Appendices (not counted towards the page count)

Appendix F	References
Appendix G	List of Acronyms
Appendix H	Tier 3 industry member partner exemption request
Appendix I	Project Partner Organization Identification Form

All documents listed above should be included in one .pdf file with the exception of Appendices B, C, D, and E, which should be uploaded separately in their appropriate file format. A proposal completion checklist can be found at: <https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Project Partner Organization Identification Form

Each unique project organization on the project proposal team must submit either a Subrecipient Commitment Form or a Letter of Intent.

If your organization is a Federal agency or is a participant in the Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Clearinghouse, your organization should submit a Letter of Intent.

All other organizations requesting NIIMBL funding and committing 2 CFR 200 cost share are required to complete and submit the Subrecipient Commitment Form.

Templates can be found on the [Project Call](#) webpage.



Industry partners who are only providing a leveraged cost share commitment, or volunteer participating organizations essential to completing the project, should complete a Letter of Commitment documenting their desire to participate and describe the resources they will provide in support of the project. There is no template for letters of commitment.

Abstract

The abstract includes the names and information of the lead organization, each partner organization, the PI, all co-PIs, and a brief description of the proposal. This description is limited to 200 words. It will be released to the public if an award is made; therefore, teams are expected to ensure that it does not contain any confidential or proprietary information.

NOTE: The Abstract should be included in the pdf of your proposal documents. You will also be required to copy and paste the Abstract into a text field in the Submission Hub. The names and organizations are not included in the 200-word count.

Executive Summary

Summarize the proposed work, including the technology development objectives and how they are consistent with the Project Call topic area and NIIMBL goals, initial and anticipated final BRL level, and the projected impact of the project. The Executive Summary is limited to one page.

Proposal Narrative

The proposal narrative must include all the sections (1 to 4) described below (not including Appendix F).

1. Background and Significance

Technology Development proposals: Identify the project call Priority Topic area being addressed.

Describe the specific problem or current state-of-the art within the context of the relevant Priority Topic area of this project call. Summarize prior work done in the area, preliminary results, and the starting and expected ending BRL of the work being proposed. Describe how this proposal is an improvement over the existing solutions or state-of-the art and how the proposed project will uniquely contribute to solving the above-mentioned problem.

2. Project Description

Describe the project segments, tasks, deliverables, milestones, and go/no-go decision points, must include potential Material Transfer Agreements (MTA), and Institutional Review Board (IRB) reviews. Describe the success criteria / evaluation approach for the project, including metrics for measuring project success. Deliverables must be specific and quantitative.

NOTE: Appendix C, the Project Plan must cross reference the page number(s) in the proposal narrative where additional details can be found. Appendix C must contain a Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM) which clearly defines and documents the roles and responsibilities of project organizations for specific tasks, deliverables, milestones, and go/no-go decision points and a Gantt Chart which visually represents the project's schedule for executing and completing



tasks, deliverables, milestones, and go/no-go decision points. Appendix C does not count towards the total page count.

3. Value Proposition

Summarize the impact of the proposed project on the overall goals and objectives of NIIMBL and describe the overall value proposition. This should be from the perspective of NIIMBL, as well as the broader NIIMBL community and/or the United States biopharmaceutical manufacturing industry. Show how the project will advance the BRL of the technology by addressing the questionnaire for exit from the current BRL. Examples of impact include technical impact on productivity, quality, efficiency, sustainability, efficacy, potency, safety, and/or any other important factors identified in the key areas below (see Section 6). Economic impact in this sector might include factors such as scalability of technical projects, the future of biomanufacturing, and/or estimated economic impact on a company or on the industry broadly, or any other relevant measure. Describe how the project engages a wide range of stakeholders within the NIIMBL member community and/or the broader United States biopharmaceutical manufacturing ecosystem. Measurable or quantifiable improvements are strongly encouraged.

4. Description of Team

Identify the Principal Investigator (PI) from the lead organization for the project proposal team, the co-PIs from other partner organizations, and other senior/key personnel. In addition, each project team must identify a Project Manager to manage and oversee the project execution. The Project Manager should not be the PI for the project. Describe the project management approaches to ensure synergistic work across project team members, particularly any handoff of work between organizations. Include how the team will ensure timelines, budget and risk will be actively managed and decisions will be made.

NOTE: Additional senior/key personnel (those team members who are not identified as the PI or co-PIs) may include staff whose participation and/or leadership is critical for the success of the project. Postdoctoral or graduate students or laboratory technicians should not be considered senior/key personnel. For all identified team members, include their responsibilities and roles in the project.

Required Proposal Appendices

Appendix A: Biosketches

Provide biosketches for the PI, all co-PIs, and Project Manager only. Biosketches are limited to two pages each.

Appendix B: Quad Chart

Complete a quad chart providing an overview of the proposal's methodology and approach, highlights from the work breakdown structure, the impact, team composition, and the total team budget. The quad chart is limited to one page and must be submitted as a .ppt or .pptx file. The NIIMBL template is available at: <https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.



Appendix C: Project Plan - Work Breakdown Structure, Responsibilities Assignment Matrix, and Gantt Chart

The Project Plan serves as the foundation for the proposed initiative. Align the Project Plan with the Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM) to describe how responsibility will be shared across the identified Project Plan elements.

The Gantt chart will visually show how the work will be completed over time. One Project Plan is required for each project proposal team and must include all proposed work. The Project Plan must be submitted as a .doc or .docx file. A template is available for download at:

<https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Appendix D: Individual Organization Budget/Cost Justification

Provide separate budget tables (.xlsx) and cost justifications (.docx) for the lead organization and each of the partner organizations requesting funding and/or committing 2 CFR 200 cost share.

Large Industry leveraged cost share commitments should be documented in their Letter of Commitment.

Budgets are to be organized by Project Plan Level 2 Segments. The budget template allows for 5 Project Plan Level 2 Segments. Any project proposal team with more than 5 Project Plan Level 2 Segments is asked to email projectcalls@niimbl.org for further direction on how to complete the budget forms.

The budget template and separate cost justification templates are available for download at:

<https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Project teams are encouraged to budget for travel to present at one NIIMBL National Meeting, which occurs in the summer in Washington, D.C.

Appendix E: BRL Evaluation

A detailed BRL assessment will be required for all teams that are invited to the Full Proposal stage. More information will be made available at that time via the RFP website:

<https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Additional Proposal Appendices

Appendix F: References

Provide a complete list of references cited in the project proposal. If references are not used, indicate NA.

Appendix G: List of Acronyms

Provide a complete list of acronyms used in the project proposal. If acronyms are not used, indicate NA.

Appendix H: Tier 3 industry member partner exemption request

If a Tier 3 Industry Member is not a proposed project partner, then a required explanation must be submitted with the full proposal. The exemption request contains two components: 1. How do you know that there is no Tier 3 industry member available for this project? 2. The basis upon which it was determined to be fair and reasonable not to include a Tier 3 industry member. If a Tier 3 industry member is part of the project team, this appendix is NA. The required template is available for download at: <https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Appendix I: Project Partner Organization Identification Form (3-types of forms, see section 3.3)

Each unique project organization on the project proposal team must submit either a Subrecipient Commitment Form or a Letter of Intent. Templates are available for download at: <https://www.niimbl.org/projects-programs/project-call-9-1/>.

Include Letters of Commitment from (a) volunteer participating organizations essential to complete the project or from an end user of the developed technology; (b) large industry members who are only committing leveraged cost share; (c) state cost share commitments.

4. Proposal Review and Evaluation

4.1 Stage 1: Concept Evaluation Criteria

NIIMBL Acceptance Criteria

Concept Papers, Slide Decks, and Showcase Videos must comply with requirements outlined in this RFP. Automatic rejection will occur if the submission is received after the published deadline or from a non-NIIMBL member.

Concept Paper, Concept Slide Deck and Showcase Video Review

NIIMBL will review submitted Concepts to ensure alignment with the NIIMBL mission (see Section 1 of this RFP), suitability of work within the Topic areas (see Section 6 of this RFP), and also the BRL 4-7 space for Technology Development Concepts.

NIIMBL industry members and Federal stakeholders will review concepts and provide feedback to NIIMBL that will be used to prioritize a subset of Concepts for invitation to Full Proposals.

The Concept Phase evaluation criteria for reviewers are:

- The Concept's ability to address the topic's problem statement and a relevant industrial need.
- The Concept's demonstration of awareness of existing solutions.
- The Concept's ability to provide a clear value proposition for the project team, the broader NIIMBL community, and/or the biopharmaceutical manufacturing industry.

4.2 Stage 2: Full Proposal Evaluation Criteria

NIIMBL Acceptance Criteria

Full Proposals must comply with the requirements outlined in this RFP. Proposals will be assessed to ensure the budget/cost share commitment is appropriate and reasonable for the proposed work. All administrative requirements, terms and conditions, and other requirements will be assessed. NIIMBL also reserves the right to request information regarding senior/key personnel's current and pending support after the submission of the full proposal. By requesting this information, NIIMBL will be able to better assess the capability of the senior/key personnel to conduct the proposed scope of work.

Automatic rejection will occur if (a) the submission is received after the published deadline, the project team includes only a single member organization; (c) all budget parameters are not met; (d) any member of the team is not a NIIMBL member or Federal Employee.

NIIMBL Subject Matter Expert Review Panel

Proposals will undergo a merit review by a panel of subject-matter experts, and will be assessed using the following criteria:

Impact – 40%

- The proposal's ability to provide a solution to an industrial need.
- The proposed solution's difference from, or complementarity to, existing solutions or related initiatives.
- The speed with which the benefits of the project are realized.
- The proposal's ability to provide a clear value proposition for the project team, the broader NIIMBL community, and/or the biopharmaceutical manufacturing industry.

Technical Assessment – 60%

- The merit of the technical approach.
- For Technology development proposals: starting BRL and expected progression of BRL
- Whether the project deliverables and timelines are realistic.
- The project's clarity of criteria for success.
- The team's inclusion of the needed technical expertise, including project management.

NIIMBL Technical Activities Committee Review of Technology Development Proposals

The NIIMBL Technical Activities Committee will perform an impact review using the following criteria:

- The proposal's ability to provide a solution to an industrial need.
- Whether the technical approach and project plan are likely to result in success.

- The proposal's ability to provide a benefit to NIIMBL members.
- Whether the project complements the existing NIIMBL technology portfolio.

NIIMBL Governing Committee

The NIIMBL Governing Committee will take into account the total Project Call funding that is available and perform a strategic review of the proposals. The GC will consider the following:

- Benefit to NIIMBL members
- NIIMBL sustainability
- Complementarity to existing NIIMBL project portfolio
- Cost and scope alignment with proposed benefits
- Cost share commitment
- Industry involvement
- For Technology development Proposals: starting BRL and expected progression of BRL.
- Increased geographic, organizational/institutional, academic/professional portfolio within the NIIMBL member community and/or the broader United States biopharmaceutical manufacturing ecosystem.

5. Reporting

Project reporting requirements will be outlined in the Project Award Agreement.

6. Project Call Topics

The narratives for each of the project topic areas below are not meant to be exhaustive. All approaches and concepts consistent with the overall goals described in the project topic areas will be considered. As the industry matures and deals with a portfolio of products, there is a continued need for improved platforms and better analytical technologies. These needs include novel process analytical technologies – particularly those that are product agnostic, flexible manufacturing platforms, and approaches that support enhanced process reliability. Note that a single proposal may address one or multiple priority topic areas. NIIMBL seeks proposal ideas aligned with these needs, as described in the sections below.

6.1 Real-Time Monitoring and Advanced Process Analytics for In-Process Control

Develop innovative instruments, platforms, or methods for “at-line” process control and monitoring to enable faster, more representative characterization of critical process parameters (CPPs) and critical quality attributes (CQAs), particularly during early-phase development.

Current reliance on offline testing delays decision-making, limits process understanding, and reduces manufacturing efficiency. This topic seeks to advance real-time and at-line analytical

technologies that generate actionable, high-fidelity data closer to the process, supporting improved control strategies and enabling the transition to real-time release testing (RTRT).

Proposals should focus on technologies that:

- Enable near real-time or **at-line measurement** of key process attributes during manufacturing.
- **Reduce reliance on centralized QC labs** by integrating process monitoring closer to the production environment.
- **Support the adoption of real-time release testing (RTRT)** by producing robust, regulatory-acceptable in-process data.
- **Integrate PAT tools and sensors** into continuous or intensified bioprocessing platforms to provide richer process insight.
- **Deliver predictive, high-fidelity analytics** that correlate process parameters with final product quality attributes.

6.2 Integrated Modeling and Control Strategies Linking Upstream, Downstream, and Cell Line Development

Develop innovative system-level frameworks and decision-support tools that connect upstream nutrient strategies, cell line attributes, and downstream process constraints to enable holistic, risk-informed control strategies across the product lifecycle. This topic seeks to advance tools and methods that bridge cell line development, upstream process design, and downstream purification constraints into a unified, platform-informed strategy. By integrating data and insights across unit operations and product modalities, these solutions aim to improve process understanding, align risks, and reduce re-work during tech transfer and comparability studies.

Proposals should aim to:

- **Develop modeling frameworks** that quantify how upstream choices (e.g., nutrient feeds, media) influence downstream outcomes such as purification bottlenecks, product heterogeneity, or impurity profiles.
- Create **rule-based or model-based control strategies** for optimizing downstream operations, such as filtration flow rates or resin selection, tailored to different product classes.
- **Design screening rubrics or data integration approaches** for cell line selection informed by downstream constraints like filtration performance, HCP removal, or aggregation propensity.
- Establish **modular, scalable, and transferable control strategy** templates that facilitate platform alignment across modalities and facilities.

- Support cross-functional harmonization of **risk and performance attributes** between upstream, downstream, and analytical workflows.

6.3 Novel Protein Expression Platforms for Complex and Next-Generation Biotherapeutics

Develop foundational and adaptable expression technologies that enable efficient production of structurally complex, multi-specific, and non-traditional protein modalities for emerging therapeutics. As biotherapeutics become more sophisticated, including bispecific antibodies, fusion proteins, engineered scaffolds, and AI-designed molecules, current expression systems often fail to deliver sufficient yields, stability, or manufacturability. New approaches to cell line engineering, vector design, and expression workflows are needed to overcome these challenges and to support diverse therapeutic modalities in a scalable and reproducible manner.

Proposals should aim to:

- Demonstrate proof-of-concept expression platforms capable of producing structurally complex or atypical proteins, **with modular designs** that can be adapted to various therapeutic classes.
- Investigate **novel vector and host cell engineering strategies** that go beyond current industrial practice and improve expression efficiency, correct folding, and enhance secretion of difficult-to-express proteins.
- Leverage **emerging tools** such as CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, AI-guided promoter and vector design, or synthetic biology to create tunable, robust, and efficient expression systems.
- Generate **data and methodologies** that inform downstream manufacturability considerations and guide platform selection decisions early in development.

6.4 Digital and Automation Platforms to Enhance Downstream Development and Filtration Scalability

Develop innovative digital and automation solutions to accelerate technology transfer, streamline downstream operations, and enable high-throughput development and analytical workflows.

Manufacturers face persistent challenges in scaling and transferring processes efficiently across facilities and product platforms, particularly in downstream operations where filtration, purification, and quality testing often create bottlenecks. New digital infrastructure and automation strategies are needed to support predictive, scalable, and efficient operations and to unlock high-throughput development capabilities.

Proposals should aim to:

- **Implement digital tools and platforms** that reduce variability and timelines during tech transfer by enhancing process modeling, automating documentation, and contextualizing data capture.

- **Design high-throughput screening platforms** for downstream unit operations, including clarification, tangential flow filtration (TFF), sterile filtration, and virus filtration, supported by predictive analytics for filter and condition selection.
- **Advance automation strategies** across upstream and downstream development, including sample preparation, buffer conditioning, and integrated analytical testing, with compatibility for single-use and continuous manufacturing systems.
- **Support cost-efficient and scalable purification workflows** that are robust across a range of molecule types, including emerging modalities such as viral vectors, mRNA, and fusion proteins.

6.5 Advanced AI/ML-Driven Strategies for Biopharmaceutical Process Modeling and Optimization

Develop and apply innovative AI/ML-based solutions to reduce experimental burden, optimize process models, and improve the predictability and efficiency of biopharmaceutical manufacturing processes. This topic focuses on the integration of modeling, artificial intelligence, and machine learning to transform how biopharmaceutical processes are designed, scaled, and controlled. Traditional reliance on iterative small-scale experimentation slows development and introduces variability, while the lack of robust, predictive models limits process understanding and optimization. The use of digital twins, surrogate models, and generative AI offers opportunities for enhanced process predictability, improved decision-making, and increased manufacturing robustness.

Sub-Area: Development of New Digital Twin Models

Create and demonstrate new digital twin frameworks where no such models currently exist for a given bioprocess. This area supports the development of foundational digital twin models for unit operations or full processes where predictive models have not yet been developed. These models should generalize across scales and modalities and provide actionable insights to support process design, scale-up, and control decisions.

Proposals should aim to:

- **Build** mechanistic, data-driven, or hybrid digital twin models for unit operations, integrated processes, or entire facilities.
- **Generate and incorporate** experimental or synthetic data to train and validate these models where partner data is unavailable.
- Demonstrate the **predictive capability** of the model in capturing key process dynamics, variability, and outcomes.

Sub-Area: Application and Refinement of Existing Digital Twins Using Partner Data

Apply existing digital twin models in collaboration with project partners to verify predictive performance, refine model accuracy, and demonstrate value in real-world manufacturing settings.



This area focuses on leveraging partner-supplied historical and real-time process data to test, validate, and update existing digital twins, ensuring the models are robust, reliable, and fit-for-purpose in diverse operating environments.

Proposals should aim to:

- **Integrate partner data into digital twin** frameworks to benchmark model predictions against observed outcomes.
- **Update and improve** model parameters, structure, or algorithms based on discrepancies revealed during verification.
- **Demonstrate** how verified digital twins can inform decision-making, streamline development activities, and improve process consistency.

7. Abbreviated List of Acronyms

AI	Artificial Intelligence
BRL	NIIMBL Biomanufacturing Readiness Level
Cas9	CRISPR-associated protein 9
Co-PI	Co-Principal Investigator
CPPs	Critical Process Parameters
CQAs	Critical Quality Attributes
CRISPR	Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats
FDP	Federal Demonstration Partnership
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Centers
GC	Governing Committee
HCP	Host Cell Protein
IP	Intellectual Property
IRB	Institutional Review Board (for Human Subjects Research)
ML	Machine Learning
MTA	Material Transfer Agreement
mRNA	Messenger Ribonucleic Acid
NIIMBL	National Institute for Innovation in Manufacturing Biopharmaceuticals
PAT	Process Analytical Technology
PC9.1	Project Call 9.1
PI	Principal Investigator
QC	Quality Control
RAM	Responsibility Assignment Matrix
RFP	Request for Proposals
RTRT	Real-Time Release Testing
TFF	Tangential Flow Filtration